

# MP83-14 ADHERENCE TO GOOD QUALITY TRANSURETHRAL RESECTION OF THE BLADDER (GQ-TURB) MARKERS IN HIGH VOLUME CENTRES: RESULTS FROM NORTH ITALIAN INSTITUTIONS

Naspro R<sup>1</sup>, Manica M<sup>1</sup>, Hurle R<sup>2</sup>, Cristinelli L<sup>3</sup>, Colombo R<sup>4</sup>, Lattarulo M<sup>3</sup>, Simeone C<sup>3</sup>, Gallina A<sup>4</sup>, Lazzeri M<sup>2</sup>, Guazzoni G<sup>2</sup>, Pellucchi F<sup>1</sup>, Moschini M<sup>4</sup>, Da Pozzo LF<sup>1</sup>



## BACKGROUND AND AIM OF THE STUDY

The goals of transurethral resection of the bladder (TURB) are:

- to remove all visible lesions,
- to make a correct diagnosis.

An incomplete or "poor-quality" resection can result in either inaccurate staging or early recurrence.

The **aim of the study** was to verify the **adherence to the well established good-quality markers for TURB (GQ-TURB) in daily practice** in 4 high volume centres.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data from **410 consecutive** patients (pts) who underwent **TURB** before August 2016 at 4 high-volume North Italian Institutions (two academic and two non academic) were retrospectively collected. Inclusion criteria were the presence of macroscopic bladder lesions and positive pathological report for bladder cancer.

**GQ-TURB was evaluated on:**

### Surgery report

- size - number of tumors,
- type of resection (in fractions / en bloc),
- patient receiving early instillation (mitomycin C) within 24 hour after the resection,

### Pathological report

- histological type of tumor,
- presence and status of detrusor muscle (DM),
- T classification,
- WHO grading system (1973 - 2004),
- lymphovascular invasion (LVI),
- necrosis status

### Re-TURB

## RESULTS

**Size and number** of tumor were not available in 12 pts (3%). En bloc resection was performed in 4.3%. Histological **variants** (pure + mixed) were present in 15 pts (3.7%). **T1 substaging** (T1f/s, T1rol1/2, T1a/b) was not comparable between the centers. Both WHO **grading** system (1973 -2004) were reported in 47.3%: of the 87 G2 tumors, 70% were classified as high grade (HG). **LVI** and **necrosis** status were reported in 59% e 4.4% respectively.

**DM status** was reported in 81.5% of the specimens. DM was present in 64.9% and not present in 68 pts (16.6%): of these 68, Ta, T1 and CIS was found in 44, 17, and 7 pts, respectively. Nine patients with Ta were HG. Of these TaHG and T1, only 11/25 (44%) underwent re-TURB and 36% (4/11) had residual tumor. Out of the **non-reported DM** group 18 specimens were TaHG, 12 were T1. Out of these only 9/30 (30%) underwent re-TURB and 7/9 (77.7%) had residual tumour. According to the 2017 EAU guidelines, 185/410 pts should have received an **early instillation**, but only 44/185 pts (23.7%) received it. **Re-TURB** was performed in 80/410 (19.5%) pts, even if it was expected in 146 (35.6%) or 115 (28%) pts according to the 2016 or 2017 EAU guidelines, respectively.

## Patients' features

Patients' features	PT (410 patients)
Age, mean (±SD)	68.3 (±10.4)
Size (mm) mean (min-max) % reported	24.48 (1-150) 94.1%
Number of tumors mean (min-max) % reported	1.9 (1-24) 90.7%
Type of resection (%) In fractions En bloc	392 (95.7%) 18 (4.3%)
Histotype (%) Pure transitional Pure variant Mixed transitional	395 (96.3%) 6 (1.6%) 9 (2.1%)
T classification (%) CIS Ta T1 (T1 substaging not comparable) T2	20 (5.0%) 254 (61.9%) 89 (21.7%) 47 (11.4%)
Grading classification (%) WHO 1973 WHO 2004	194 (47.3%) 407 (99.2%)
Lymphovascular invasion - LVI (%) Reported Positive	244 (59.5%) 24 (9.8%)
Necrosis Status (%) Reported Positive	18 (4.4%) 12 (2.9%)
Detrusor Muscle –DM- in the specimen (%) Present Not present Not reported	266 (64.9%) 68 (16.6%) 76 (18.5%)
Early instillation (%) Re-TURB (%)	44 (10.7%) 80 (19.5%)

## CONCLUSIONS

Our findings showed that the **adherence to international guideline remains low even in high volume centers**. International educational programs should be improved worldwide in order to offer higher standardized procedures.

- 1) Department of Urology, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy
- 2) Department of Urology, Istituto Clinico Humanitas IRCCS - Clinical and Research Hospital, Rozzano (Milan), Italy.
- 3) Department of Urology, Spedali Civili Hospital, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- 4) Department of Urology, Urological Research Institute, Vita -Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy.