MP 69-19

Indications and Outcome of Cuff-Nipple Ureteroneocystotomy



Introduction

Follow up ranged between 6 months and 12 years. From 1995 to 2016, 50 megaureters of 33 infants (3-10 months) and 12 Infants and children with obstruction or structural weakness of abnormal ureters of 10 children (3-7 years old), underwent Post-operative VCUG (3-6 mos.) showed no the uretero-vesical junction (UVJ) may require surgical repair. Reimplantation of a dilated ureter into a small capacity and/or ureteroneocystotomy using a combination of a short submucosal tunneling evidence of VUR in 55/60 ureters (91.6%). US at 3, 6, and 12 months indicated improved or unchanged scarred bladder following failed surgery, can represent a major and cuff-nipple methods. The primary indications were repeated breaksurgical challenge. Herein a report on the outcome of the cuffthrough pyelonephritis while on prophylaxis and/or worsening hydronephrosis. upper tract dilation in 51/60 (85%) kidneys, nipple method of ureteroneocystotomy in these difficult cases, The clinical abnormalities were: obstructed megaureters (21 megaureters/17 however, 7/43 (16%) developed recurrent and this represents additional experience with this previously infants), reflux megaureters (29/16), and repeat surgery in 12 ureters of 10 bacteriuria. children (6/5 failed reimplantation, 4/4 post renal transplantation, 2/1 bladder reported technique (1). exstrophy). Radionuclide renal scans showed reflux nephropathy in 23/37 kidneys. Postoperatively ultrasound studies (US) and voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) were performed in 41/43 children.



Figure 1. Diagrammatic representation of cuff nipple ureteral orifice with associated fixation to bladder wall.



Figure 2. Bilateral cuff-nipple reimplantation and short submucosa tunnel



Samuel Aly MD and Moneer K. Hanna MD, FRCS RWJ Barnabas Health-St Barnabas Medical Center and New York Presbyterian Weill-Cornell Medical Center

Methods



Figure 3. A. Pre- and intra-operative ureterogram to confirm straightening of upper ureteral king. B. Post-op retrograde pyelogram





Figure 4. Re-implantation by short submucosal tunnel and cuff-nipple. Note Subtotal ureteral remodeling and straightening of an obstructed megaureter and the preservation of sub-adventital blood supply.

Results







Figure 5. Cystoscopy view of cuff-nipple, 5 weeks post-op



Figure 6. Post-op ultrasound cuff-nipple

The time-tested Paquin (2) dogma of tunnel:width ratio of 5:1 was challenged by Lyons et al. (3). Their contention was that the shape of the ureteral orifice (UO) is more important than tunnel length for correction of VUR. Recently Villaneuva et al. (4) performed a parametric simulation study of ureteral collapse (LS-DYNA finiteelement software). The changes in the pressure required to collapse the ureter were evaluated with each variable (tunnel length, ureteral diameter, and ureteral thickness/stiffness). Their studies showed that the pressure required to collapse the ureter was inversely related to the diameter of ureter and a 1 cm tunnel length would allow the ureter to collapse under a low pressure. They proposed the creation of a better UO, which the cuff nipple does, would contribute to an efficient ureteral collapse, i.e. reflux prevention. These observations would explain the high success rate which was achieved by the reported cuff-nipple technique.

NewYork-Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center

Conclusions



Figure 7. Computer simulation of ureteral collapse.

References

1. Friedman AA and Hanna MK. Split-cuff nipple technique of ureteral reimplantation in children with thick-walled bladders due to posterior urethral valves. *J Urol.* 2015; 85:199-204.

2. Paquin AJ Jr. Ureterovesical anastomosis: the description and evaluation of a technique. *J Urol* 1959;82:573-583

3. Lyon RP, Marshall S, Tanagho EA. The ureteral orifice: its configuration and competency. J Urol 1969;102:504-509.

Villanueva CA, Nelson CA, Stolle C. Intravesical tunnel length to ureteral diameter ratio insufficiently explains ureterovesical junction competence : A parametric simulation study. J Ped Urol 2015; 11:144.e1-144e.5

