



ANTIMICROBIAL PROPHYLAXIS BEFORE URODYNAMIC STUDY: SINGLE INSTITUTION EXPERIENCE IN A FACE OF AUA/SUFU BEST POLICY STATEMENT ON URODYNAMIC ANTIMICROBIAL PROPHYLAXIS Michael Vainrib MD - Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Saba, Israel

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Urodynamic study (UDS) is an invasive ambulatory procedure that carries a risk for urinary tract infection (UTI). SUFU has published the Best practice policy statement on urodynamic antibiotic prophylaxis in the non-index patient. The statement justifies antimicrobial prophylaxis before UDS in patients with certain risk factors. However, most of the recommendations for antibiotic prophylaxis have low level of evidence. The aim of the study was to verify a symptomatic post-UDS UTI rate following local protocol of antibiotic prophylaxis and identify possible risk factors for post-UDS symptomatic UTI. **METHODS**

680 patients in an IRB-approved retrospective review of UDS clinic electronic charts' database. Anyone with symptoms of: dysuria, urinary frequency, urgency or fever and a positive urine culture within 7 days after UDS considered as post-UDS symptomatic UTI. Following variables: age >70, male gender, past or current smoking, diabetes mellitus, neuropathic pathologies, indwelling or intermittent catheters, time interval between urine culture (UC) and a day of UDS, presence of asymptomatic bacteriuria pre-UDS were verified as possible risk factors for post-UDS UTI. RESULTS

Mean age of a study population was 64.2 (range=19-95) years old. 408/680(60%) were male. 544(80%) patients had a negative UC prior to UDS with similar symptomatic UTI rate [9(1.7%) vs 2(1.5%)] among patients who had prior to UDS appropriately treated positive UC (p=1.0). There was no significant difference in a time interval between UC and a date of UDS in a group that developed UTI compared to an asymptomatic group (p=0.48). In both, univariate and multivariate analysis, age >70, time interval between UC and UDS more than a week, male gender, diabetes mellitus and neuropathic conditions were found as a non-significant variables predicting post-UDS symptomatic. CONCLUSIONS

The first study to verify a symptomatic post-UDS UTI rate and identify possible risk factors for post-UDS symptomatic UTI. This study supports our antimicrobial prophylaxis protocol before UDS to minimize post-UDS UTI rate. However, a retrospective design and a relatively small number of patients in each group of proposed risk factors might be a cause that no significance found in univariate and multivariate analyses.

INTRODUCTION

- Urodynamic study (UDS) is an invasive ambulatory procedure that carries a risk for urinary tract infection (UTI).
- SUFU/AUA has published the Best Practice Policy Statement on urodynamic antibiotic prophylaxis in the non-index patient. The statement justifies antimicrobial prophylaxis before UDS in patients with certain risk factors. However, most of the **recommendations** for antibiotic prophylaxis have **low level of** evidence.
- EUA guidelines justify antibiotics in a case of: bacteriuria, indwelling catheters, neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction and a history of UTI.
- SUFU+EAU based mostly on studies that used a rate of all types of bacteriuria as a measure of post-procedure UTI.
- Studies verifying an actual rate of symptomatic UTIs and identifying *risk factors for post-UDS symptomatic UTI* are lacking.

OBJECTIVES

- to verify a symptomatic post-UDS UTI rate following local protocol of antiobiotic prophylaxis
- to identify possible risk factors for post-UDS symptomatic UTI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 1

- 680 patients in an IRB-approved retrospective review of UDS clinic electronic charts' database.
- •Definition of UTI post-UDS:
 - referral to a family practitioner within 2 weeks,
 - symptoms of: dysuria, urinary frequency, urgency or
 - a positive urine culture up to 7 days after UDS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 2

•UDS Clinic routines:

• negative urine culture = no antimicrobial treatment before UDS.

• asymptomatic positive urine culture = 3 day appropriate treatment before UDS.

•Proposed risk factors for post-UDS UTI:

- age >70,
- male,
- past or current smoking,
- diabetes mellitus,
- neuropathic pathologies,
- indwelling or intermittent catheters,
- presence of asymptomatic bacteriuria pre-UDS.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographics and Proposed Risk Factors for Post-UDS UTI

	Pre-UDS Negative Culture	Pre-UDS Positive Culture	р
No. of patients (%):	544 (100)	136 (100)	
Male (%)	346 (63.6)	62 (45.6)	0.0001
Female (%)	198 (36.4)	74 (54.4)	
Age (mean ± std years):	63.2±15.2	68.0±12.0	0.0007
Male	64.0±14.9	71.1±11.4	0.0004
Female	62.0±15.8	65.3±12.0	0.1
Proposed risk factors			
(no. of patients):			
Past/current smoking	191 (35.1)	39 (28.7)	0.0062
Hypertension	220 (40.4)	60 (44.1)	0.607
Diabetes Mellitus	123 (22.6)	42 (30.9)	0.0441
Neuropathic pathologies*	168 (30.9)	52 (38.2)	0.1011
Indwelling Catheter/CIC	32 (5.9)	19 (13.9)	0.011
Mean time between urine	21.7±31.8	19.7±22.3	0.4892
culture and UDS (days ± std):			

Table 2. Positive Urine Culture Pathogens before UDS

Pre-UDS Positive Culture
83 (61.0)
5 (3.7)
45 (33.1)
3 (2.2)

fever,

• time interval between urine culture and the day of UDS,

Post-UDS UTI

Univariate analys

Male gender Age ≥70 yea Past/current Hypertension **Diabetes Me** Neuropathic Indwelling C Pre-UDS pos Time interva

urine culture PVR≥150ml

Multivariate analy

Male gender Age ≥70 year Past/current Hypertension **Diabetes Me** Neuropathic Indwelling C Pre-UDS po Time interva urine culture

Table 3. Post-UDS Urine Culture Pathogens for Suspected UTI

Post-UDS urine culture <u>with</u>		
symptoms (no. of patients):		
E. Coli		
Klebsiella		
Morganella		
Mixed Flora		
Proteus		
Negative		

•The first study to verify a symptomatic post-UDS UTI rate and *identify* possible risk factors for post-UDS symptomatic UTI. •There were similar and low rates of post-UDS UTI in both

study groups.

•However, a retrospective design and a relatively small number of patients in each group of proposed risk factors might be a cause that no significance found in univariate and multivariate analyses.





RESULTS 2

Table 5. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of proposed Risk Factors for

	OR	95% CI	р
sis:			
r	0.5500	0.1662-1.8205	0.3268
ars	3.7738	0.9923-14.3520	0.037
t smoking	0.1921	0.0244-1.5103	0.0521
n	1.1939	0.3608-3.9513	0.7723
ellitus	1.1736	0.3077-4.4761	0.82
pathologies*	1.7597	0.5311-5.8300	0.362
Catheter/CIC	1.1623	0.1460-9.2544	0.8892
sitive urine culture	1.4972	0.3919-5.7200	0.5673
al ≥10 days between e and UDS	0.6158	0.1786-2.1233	0.4349
	3.3443	0.4614-24.2400	0.2449
ysis:			
r			0.4925
ars			0.0507
t smoking			0.1621
n			0.8937
ellitus			0.9733
pathologies*			0.3727
Catheter/CIC			0.9207
sitive urine culture			0.8671
al ≥10 days between e and UDS			0.6164

Table 4. Relationship between UDS and Urine Culture for Suspect

20 1	9 0	0.1521		Pre-UDS Negative Culture	Pre-UDS Positive Culture	р
2 0	0 1		Mean time between UDS and urine culture for suspected UTI (mean days ± std):			
5 1 11	1 0 7		Total Any positive culture Negative culture	4.0±1.9 4.2±2.0 3.8±1.9	4.9±1.8 5.5±0.7 4.7±2.1	0.2412 0.4042 0.3605

CONCLUSIONS

•This study supports our antimicrobial prophylaxis protocol **before UDS** to minimize post-UDS UTI rate.