

# Low Income and Non-White Race are Strongly Associated with Poorer Quality of Life for Nephrolithiasis Patients



Tessnim R. Ahmad,<sup>1</sup> David T. Tzou,<sup>1</sup> Shalonda Reliford-Titus,<sup>1</sup> Clinton Wu,<sup>1</sup> Jeremy Goodman,<sup>1</sup> Jodi A. Antonelli,<sup>2</sup> Davis P. Viprakasit,<sup>3</sup> Timothy D. Averch,<sup>4</sup> Sri Sivalingam,<sup>5</sup> Ben H. Chew,<sup>6</sup> Vincent G. Bird,<sup>7</sup> Vernon M. Paise, Jr.,<sup>8</sup> Necole M. Streeper,<sup>9</sup> Roger L. Sur,<sup>10</sup> Stephen Y. Nakada,<sup>11</sup> Kristina L. Penniston,<sup>11</sup> Thomas Chi<sup>1</sup>

# Background

- Kidney stones are common in the U.S. with an estimated lifetime prevalence of 8.8%.<sup>i</sup>
- Pain from kidney stones can be severe and recurrence rates are as high as 50%.
- Stone formers have been shown to have significantly lower quality of life (QOL) compared to healthy adults.<sup>iii,iv</sup>
- The link between socioeconomic status (SES) and general health is known: The lower one's income and education, the greater the likelihood of disease and death.
- While the impact of SES on healthrelated QOL (HRQOL) has been shown in a variety of diseases, it has not been studied in stone formers.

#### Objectives

- To understand the association between SES, measured by income and occupation, and HRQOL among stone formers.
- To explore other demographic and clinical factors that may impact HRQOL in stone disease, such as race and BMI.

#### Methods

Who | Patients at 10 U.S. stone centers presenting for stone evaluation.

What | Participants completed WISQOL, a 28-item HRQOL survey specific for stone disease. They also provided their ZIP codes, which were used to estimate income using Census data.

How | Simple linear regression for univariate analyses. Mixed-effects regression, with ZIP as the random effect, for the income analysis and multivariate model.

#### **Study Cohort**

- n = 2,057
- mean age = 53 years
- 48% female
- \$56,909/year average household income
- 75%
   overweight/obese
- 45% had recurrent stones (2-5) and 29% had severe recurrent stones (>5)

### Conclusions

- Lower income and non-White race
  were strongly associated with poorer
  kidney stone disease-specific HRQOL,
  even in a multivariate model
  accounting for demographic and
  clinical covariates.
- Clinical characteristics such as elevated BMI and multiple comorbidities were associated with poorer HRQOL, as was female gender. This is consistent with prior research in urolithiasis and other diseases. iii,iv,vi,vii
- Income and race may be as important as clinical factors in a stone former's HRQOL.
- Our study provides a starting point to ground patient-centered care for kidney stone patients. Potential areas of study include strategies to tailor care to patients with unique socioeconomic needs, such as telehealth for follow-up and surgical planning sensitive to SES factors.

## Results

Regression of socioeconomic and demographic variables on HRQOL

	Univariate	Multivariate
Income	Lower income***	Lower income**
Gender (Ref. = Male)	Female gender***	Female gender**
Race (Ref. = White)	Non-White race***	Non-White race**
Occupation (Ref. = Mgmt./Prof.)	Sales/Service** Manual Labor* Homemaker/Caregiver*** Retired/Unemployed*	Sales/Service Manual Labor Homemaker/Caregiver Retired/Unemployed*

Variables shown are predictors of *lower* HRQOL. Asterisks indicate p-value: \* <0.05, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\* < 0.001.

Other variables associated with lower HRQOL in the multivariate model include: younger age\*\*, super obese BMI\*\*\*, 5+ comorbidities\*\*, and >5 stone events.\*\*\*

Average HRQOL by domain

Social 4.1/5
Symptoms 3.7/5
Emotional 3.6/5
Vitality 3.5/5

<sup>1</sup>UCSF, <sup>2</sup>UT Southwestern, <sup>3</sup>UNC, <sup>4</sup>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, <sup>5</sup>Cleveland Clinic, <sup>6</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, <sup>7</sup>University of Florida Health, <sup>8</sup>Dartmouth-Hitchcock, <sup>9</sup>Penn State Health, <sup>10</sup>UCSD, <sup>11</sup>University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health

iScales et al. *Eur. Urol.* 2012; 62: 160, iiPearle et al. *J Urol.* 2005; 173: 848, iiiPenniston et al. *J Urol.* 2007; 178: 2435, ivBensalah et al. *J Urol.* 2008; 179: 2238, vBraveman et al. *Am J Public Health.* 2010; 100: 186, viGijsberts et al. *Open Heart.* 2015; 2: e000231, viiHou et al. *Am. J. Crit. Care.* 2004; 13: 153